

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,598		02/02/2001	Fumiyasu Hirai	010105	6246
23850	7590	11/04/2002			
	•		HATTORI, LLP	EXAM	NER
1725 K STR SUITE 1000		'.	ANDRES, JANET L		
WASHING	TON, DC	20006			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1646				
				DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summer	09/773,598	HIRAI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Janet L Andres	1646
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	he corresp ndence address
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	to e timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ R	desponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u>	<u>ugust 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠ T	his action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.	
C	ince this application is in condition for allowal losed in accordance with the practice under the conditions are the conditions.		
Disposition			
•	aim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	m for an arranged and the	
	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	In from consideration.	
·	aim(s) is/are allowed.		
	aim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are rejected.		
	aim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Class	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	election requirement.	
9) <u></u> Th∈	e specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) <u></u> Th∈	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.
	approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.	
Pri rity und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:	•	
1.[Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2.[Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No
3.[* See	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)∏ Ackr	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language province translation of the foreign language province to the translation of a claim for domestic		
Attachm nt(s)			
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tradem TO-326 (Rev. 04		i n Summary	Part of Paper No. 8



Application/Control Number: 09/773,598

Art Unit: 1646

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Applicant's amendment filed 28 August 2002 is acknowledged. Claims 2-4 are pending and, in light of Applicant's amendment, under examination in this office action. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections Maintained

2. The rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C, 103(a) as unpatentable over the '322 patent, the '548 patent, or the '933 patent in view of the '994 patent is maintained for reasons of record in the office action of paper no. 6 and newly applied to claims 2 and 3, which have been amended to depend from claim 4.

Applicant argues that body fluids are different from the prepared fractions disclosed by the '322, '548, and '933 patents and thus that the cited patents do not provide any motive to isolate TGF- β from body fluids or any expectation that such a procedure would be successful. Applicant further argues that the '994 patent does not teach removal of TGF- β from body fluids.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). The '322, '548, and '933 patents were cited as teaching methodology for isolating TGF-β that falls within the limitations of Applicant's claims. The motivation for using this methodology as Applicant contemplates using



Application/Control Number: 09/773,598

Art Unit: 1646

it is provided by the '994 patent, which teaches that TGF- β can be harmful. The '994 was cited as teaching a reason for removing TGF- β from body fluids: that it can be harmful. The method for removing it is taught by the '322, '548, and '933 patents. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, on reading the cited references, to combine them to arrive at what no reference teaches individually: Applicant's claimed invention.

Applicant further argues that one of ordinary skill would not expect the methods taught by the '322 patent, the '548 patent, or the '933 patent to be successful at removing TGF- β from body fluids, since these patents teach only removal from prepared fractions. This argument is not found persuasive because each of these patents clearly teaches that TGF- β can be removed from a fluid using hydrophobic chromatography. Further, each of these patents teaches removal from a tissue extract that would contain many other components. One of ordinary skill in the art would thus know, on reading any of the patents, that TGF- β present in a fluid binds to hydrophobic columns and thus one of ordinary skill would expect to be able to remove TGF- β from fluids, including body fluids, using hydrophobic chromatography.

NO CLAIM IS ALLOWED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,598

Art Unit: 1646

Page 4

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. October 23, 2002

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600